

Green Belt Parcel			Purpose 1				Purpose 2		Purpose 3		Boundaries		Overall
			Criteria 1.1		Criteria 1.2	Purpose 1 score	Criteria 2.2	Purpose 2 score	Criteria 3.1	Purpose 3 score	Perimeter of parcel that is enclosed by strong boundary	% of parcel enclosed by strong boundary	
Parcel ref	Area (ha)	Perimeter	Q1: Adjacent to large built up area?*	Q2: Adjacent to any other settlements?	Q3: How well contained is parcel?	If Q1=No, limited impact	Assess gap in which parcel is located (parcels not screened out by Q1 & Q2)		Characteristic of countryside and contribute to the openness of it?				
1	18.1	2241.9	No	Yes	Not adjacent to large built up area, woodland to north not protected.		Wide gap		Some, agriculture and woodland but mostly enclosed, open to south and NE corner only.		800	35.7%	
2	23.1	2029.4	No	Yes	Not adjacent to large built up area, site very well contained >80%.		Wide gap		Number of inappropriate uses within parcel (excluding farmyard), and well enclosed.		1683	82.9%	
3	0.7	417.4	No	Yes	Not adjacent to large built up area, and well contained.		Wide gap		Well enclosed by housing to east and south. Some PDL.		288	69.0%	
4	119.0	4543.0	No	Yes	Not adjacent to large built up area.		Partially strategic gap to Skelmersdale		Strong countryside character and very open to north and east.		1803	39.7%	
5	40.6	3129.2	No	Yes	Not adjacent to large built up area, woodland to west not protected, still >80% enclosed.		Strategic gap between Rainford and Rainford Junction		The site is very well enclosed, more than 2/3, with the railway embankment to the north, busy A570 to west and built up area to the south.		2565	82.0%	
6	55.4	3199.1	No	Yes	Not adjacent to large built up area, woodland to east not protected, partially enclosed.		Strategic gap between Rainford and Rainford Junction		Countryside character with mainly agricultural fields, strong boundaries limited to north and south boundary, woodland to NW. Less than 10% inappropriate development and long open views across the site.		1690	52.8%	
7	92.7	4229.6	No	Yes	Not adjacent to large built up area, not contained.		Wide gap, Crawford washed over by Green Belt		Strong countryside character and very open to east, west and south.		1300	30.7%	
8	32.4	3186.1	No	No	Not adjacent any settlements which is not in the Green Belt.		No to Q1 and Q2		Countryside character with woodland and fields but bounded by A road and railway to east and south respectively, and some inappropriate development (row of houses).		1926.1	60.5%	
9	680.7	12710.6	No	No	Not adjacent any settlements which is not in the Green Belt.		No to Q1 and Q2		Strong countryside character but bordered in parts by A roads to east and south and railway to north, overall predominantly open.		4430	34.9%	
10	45.6	4179.9	No	Yes	Very well contained.		Wide gap		Mostly enclosed.		3869.9	92.6%	

Green Belt Parcel			Purpose 1				Purpose 2		Purpose 3		Boundaries		Overall
			Criteria 1.1		Criteria 1.2	Purpose 1 score	Criteria 2.2	Purpose 2 score	Criteria 3.1	Purpose 3 score	Perimeter of parcel that is enclosed by strong boundary	% of parcel enclosed by strong boundary	
Parcel ref	Area (ha)	Perimeter	Q1: Adjacent to large built up area?*	Q2: Adjacent to any other settlements?	Q3: How well contained is parcel?	If Q1=No, limited impact	Assess gap in which parcel is located (parcels not screened out by Q1 & Q2)		Characteristic of countryside and contribute to the openness of it?				
11	43.9	3431.5	No	Yes	Very well contained.		Wide gap		Mostly enclosed.		2605	75.9%	
12	9.6	740.0	No	Yes	Woodland not protected and parcel only contained to the east by edge of Green Belt (not physical boundary).		Wide gap		Parcel entirely covered by woodland and not contained, forms good buffer for Green Belt edge.		50	6.8%	
13	77.5	4351.5	No	Yes	Site partially contained and not adjacent to large built up area.		Majority of parcel could be lost whilst still maintaining strategic gap to St.Helens		Parcel contains golf course and is largely open to east but is bordered on entire west edge by busy A570.		2371.5	54.5%	
14	127.8	5292.1	Yes	No	Partly contained - A580 and A570 to south and west boundaries, but open to the north and some of the east		Partially strategic gap to St.Helens, together with Parcel 13		Site characterised by large agricultural fields with open views and retains countryside character as you move away from A580. It does have busy A roads to west and south which detract somewhat from this character.		3122.1	59.0%	
15	5.6	1027.7	Yes	No	Well contained - more than 2/3 and strong boundaries to south, east and part of north.		Less strategic gap - settlement has already merged with St.Helens		>2/3 enclosed and adjacent to busy A580.		747.7	72.8%	
16	341.2	9086.4	Yes	Yes	Uncontained - less than 1/3 enclosed.		Partially strategic gap to St.Helens		Strong countryside character and very open to north and west.		3020	33.2%	
17	4.2	959.9	Yes	No	Well contained - more than 2/3 and strong boundaries to south, east and part of west.		Parcel is of such a small size here that development will not impact on integrity of gap.		Well enclosed, A571 to east, housing to south and west.		706	73.6%	
18	127.0	5645.0	No	Yes	Not adjacent to large built up area, but not contained.		Seperates Crank and Rainford, of partial strategic significance.		strong countryside character and very open to north, south and east.		1300	23.0%	
19	31.6	2759.6	No	Yes	Not adjacent to large built up area, and 2/3 contained by strong boundary, including part of woodland to the west which is protected.		Wide gap		Little inappropriate use but very well enclosed.		1867	67.7%	
20	458.8	9675.6	No	Yes	Not adjacent to large built up area, but not contained.		Wide gap, King's Moss washed over by Green Belt		Highly characteristic of countryside and open to north, south and west.		2440	25.2%	
21	187.4	5976.9	No	No	Not adjacent any settlements which is not in the Green Belt.		Wide gap		Highly characteristic of countryside and open to north, south and west.		470	7.9%	

Green Belt Parcel			Purpose 1				Purpose 2		Purpose 3		Boundaries		Overall
			Criteria 1.1		Criteria 1.2	Purpose 1 score	Criteria 2.2	Purpose 2 score	Criteria 3.1	Purpose 3 score	Perimeter of parcel that is enclosed by strong boundary	% of parcel enclosed by strong boundary	
Parcel ref	Area (ha)	Perimeter	Q1: Adjacent to large built up area?*	Q2: Adjacent to any other settlements?	Q3: How well contained is parcel?	If Q1=No, limited impact	Assess gap in which parcel is located (parcels not screened out by Q1 & Q2)		Characteristic of countryside and contribute to the openness of it?				
22	371.7	10392.7	No	Yes	Not adjacent to large built up area, but not well contained.		Strategic gap between Billinge and Longshaw		Very open to south and west. Farmland and golf course predominate.		5610	54.0%	
23	8.0	1362.7	No	Yes	Not adjacent to large built up area and site well contained >70%.		Parcel is of such a small size here that development will not impact on integrity of gap		Very well enclosed.		1032.7	75.8%	
24	65.5	4613.1	No	Yes	Not adjacent to large built up area, but not contained.		Strategic gap between Billinge and Garswood		Open to north and south. <10 of inappropriate development.		1909.6	41.4%	
25	32.7	2551.9	No	Yes	Not adjacent to large built up area, but only partially contained.		Strategic gap between Billinge and Garswood can be maintained even if removed from Green Belt		Enclosed by housing to south and east. Largely open to north and to a lesser extent to west.		1521.9	59.6%	
26	408.7	9933.8	Yes	Yes	Well contained - more than 2/3 and strong boundaries to south, east and most of west.		Strategic gap between Billinge, Garswood and St.Helens		Parcel is contained by strong boundaries, but railway to south does not have significantly raised embankments. Parcel only contains a couple of tracks and lanes, low level of inappropriate development and has very open character.		8456.4	85.1%	
27	50.1	3363.5	Yes	Yes	Well contained - more than 2/3 and strong boundaries to north, south and west.		Strategic gap between Billinge and St.Helens		Partially enclosed by settlements to northeast and southwest, but retains open views and has limited inappropriate development. Does border busy A road to north.		2417	71.9%	
28	295.1	8664.8	Yes	Yes	Well contained - more than 2/3 and strong boundaries to north, south, west and east.		Strategic gap between Garswood and St.Helens		Parcel is contained by strong boundaries, but railway to north does not have significantly raised embankments. Bordered by busy A580 and A58 to south and east respectively. Also border industrial estate to northeast. Therefore only moderate countryside character.		7764.8	89.6%	
29	39.1	2742.1	No	Yes	Well contained - more than 2/3 and strong boundaries to north, south, west and east.		Strategic gap between Ashton-in-Makerfield and Garswood		Bordered by busy M6, railway line and industrial estate and thus has limited characteristics of countryside.		2302.1	84.0%	

Green Belt Parcel			Purpose 1				Purpose 2		Purpose 3		Boundaries		Overall
			Criteria 1.1		Criteria 1.2	Purpose 1 score	Criteria 2.2	Purpose 2 score	Criteria 3.1	Purpose 3 score	Perimeter of parcel that is enclosed by strong boundary	% of parcel enclosed by strong boundary	
Parcel ref	Area (ha)	Perimeter	Q1: Adjacent to large built up area?*	Q2: Adjacent to any other settlements?	Q3: How well contained is parcel?	If Q1=No, limited impact	Assess gap in which parcel is located (parcels not screened out by Q1 & Q2)		Characteristic of countryside and contribute to the openness of it?				
30	65.9	4000.2	Yes	Yes	Well contained - more than 2/3 and strong boundaries to north, east and south.		Strategic gap between Haydock and Ashton-In-Makerfield and between Haydock and Garswood		Parcel mostly contains Ashton-in-Makerfield golf course but is more than 2/3 enclosed and is bordered by industrial estate to south, busy A road to west and bust motorway to east.		3483	87.1%	
31	82.5	4705.2	Yes	No	Well contained - more than 2/3 and strong boundaries to east, west and south.		Part of a wider strategic gap between Haydock and Garswood, sufficient gap can be retained eve if this parcel is lost		Some housing within parcel but occupying below 10%. More than 2/3 enclosed. Bordered by two busy A roads and industrial estate to east.		4642.1	98.7%	
32	14.8	1818.3	Yes	No	Well contained - more than 2/3 and strong boundaries to north, east and west.		Gap between Haydock and Ashton-In-Makerfield already lost to south and only 180m across to the north - no longer functions as strategic gap		Less than 10% inappropriate development but more than 2/3 enclosed. Bordered by industrial estate to west, A road to south and busy M6 to east.		1773	97.5%	
33	85.7	5221.6	Yes	No	Well contained- M6 to west, A580 to south, protected woodland to east and Haydock Race Course to north.		Part of wider strategic gap between Haydock and Golborne, which includes parcels 33, 34 and GB parcel within Wigan. Parcel of partial strategic significance		Low level of inappropriate development though bordered by M6 and busy A580. Thick treeline to north and west further encloses the space.		4841.6	92.7%	
34	82.9	4884.5	Yes	Yes	Site only partially contained and adjacent to large built up area.		Strategic gap between Golborne and Ashton-In-Makerfield		Parcel occupied by Haydock racecourse. Moderate level of openness.		3024.5	61.9%	
35	18.2	1871.9	No	Yes	Not adjacent to large built up area and site well contained >70%.		Partially strategic gap between Haydock and Golborne		Parcel contains farmland and woodland but is 2/3 enclosed (A580 to south) and thick woodland to north and east.		1341.9	71.7%	
36	73.4	4388.3	No	No	Protected woodland to east, M6 to west and A580 to north, well contained.		No to Q1 and Q2, but forms the main Green Belt parcel between Bolborne and Newton-le-Willows, therefore of partial strategic significance		M6 to the south/west and M580 to north along with thick woodland to east ensure the parcel is very well enclosed.		3928.3	89.5%	
37	31.3	3208.4	No	No	Only part of woodland to north is protected, not adjacent any settlement.		No to Q1 and Q2		Some limited housing within parcel. Well enclosed on west by motorway, south by A road and north by thick woodland. >70% enclosed.		2371	73.9%	

Green Belt Parcel			Purpose 1				Purpose 2		Purpose 3		Boundaries		Overall
			Criteria 1.1		Criteria 1.2	Purpose 1 score	Criteria 2.2	Purpose 2 score	Criteria 3.1	Purpose 3 score	Perimeter of parcel that is enclosed by strong boundary	% of parcel enclosed by strong boundary	
Parcel ref	Area (ha)	Perimeter	Q1: Adjacent to large built up area?*	Q2: Adjacent to any other settlements?	Q3: How well contained is parcel?	If Q1=No, limited impact	Assess gap in which parcel is located (parcels not screened out by Q1 & Q2)		Characteristic of countryside and contribute to the openness of it?				
38	14.3	1900.3	No	No	Not adjacent any settlements which is not in the Green Belt.		No to Q1 and Q2		More than 2/3 enclosed.		1650.3	86.8%	
39	126.7	5196.9	No	No	Not adjacent any settlements which is not in the Green Belt.		No to Q1 and Q2		Enclosed on north, south and west but open to east. Little inappropriate development.		3586.9	69.0%	
40	4.3	894.8	Yes	No	Well contained - M6 to east and railway lines to south and west.		Wide gap		Fully enclosed.		894.8	100.0%	
41	100.5	4080.9	Yes	No	Mostly contained- M6 to east, A49 to west and railway lines to north.		Wide gap, Hermitage Green washed over by Green Belt		Just under 2/3 enclosed and over 30% of inappropriate use. Site of former Parkside Colliery.		2401	58.8%	
42	13.7	1826.0	Yes	No	Site well contained.		Wide gap		Over 30% built form due to housing settlement.		1435.3	78.6%	
43	19.4	2675.8	No	No	Not adjacent any settlements which is not in the Green Belt.		No to Q1 and Q2		Moderate level of openness overall with high level of openness to south.		1516	56.7%	
44	17.6	2293.3	Yes	No	Well contained to west by housing and east by railway line.		Wide gap		Railway to east and housing to west. Over 2/3 enclosed.		1905	83.1%	
45	22.9	2776.9	Yes	Yes	Well contained by railway to east and housing to north and west.		Strategic gap - settlement centred on Mill Lane and Winwick Road separated from larger settlement of Wargrave		Woodland, farmland and brook make up the parcel, however, it is enclosed to north and part of west by housing and by railway line to east.		2506.4	90.3%	
46	20.7	1909.3	Yes	No	Partially contained - strong boundaries to north and east but relatively open to south and west. However, parcel contains disused canal that runs through it and could become an additional strong boundary.		Wide gap		Housing to north and railway to east. Relatively open to south and west. No inappropriate development within parcel.		1482.5	77.6%	

Green Belt Parcel			Purpose 1				Purpose 2		Purpose 3		Boundaries		Overall
			Criteria 1.1		Criteria 1.2	Purpose 1 score	Criteria 2.2	Purpose 2 score	Criteria 3.1	Purpose 3 score	Perimeter of parcel that is enclosed by strong boundary	% of parcel enclosed by strong boundary	
Parcel ref	Area (ha)	Perimeter	Q1: Adjacent to large built up area?*	Q2: Adjacent to any other settlements?	Q3: How well contained is parcel?	If Q1=No, limited impact	Assess gap in which parcel is located (parcels not screened out by Q1 & Q2)		Characteristic of countryside and contribute to the openness of it?				
47	71.2	15663.4	Yes	No	Partially contained - North west bounded by Haydock and east bounded by railway and Earlstown. South of parcel weakly contained by brook while north is partially contained by woodland and watercourses of varying width.		Strategic gap between haydock and St.Helens		Diverse parcel with it being enclosed at the eastern and western edge (bordering a water treatment facility at western edge). It is open to north and south at the centre of parcel. Land consists of woodland and Sankey Brook.		4730	30.2%	
48	1.9	647.1	Yes	No	Well contained to all sides, including canal to west.		Parcel is of such a small size here that development will not impact on integrity of gap		Enclosed by more than 2/3 with housing to north and west, Sankey canal to south. Lack countryside character with some PDL within parcel.		597.7	92.4%	
49	5.4	1107.7	Yes	No	Well contained to south and west by settlement and north and east by M6.		Wide gap		Well enclosed by housing to west and south by housing and M6 to east.		1074.3	97.0%	
50	9.6	2081.9	Yes	No	Well contained - strong boundary north due to M6 and south due to housing, open to northwest.		Park (parcel) acts as buffer between two settlements		Mix of parkland and agricultural land. Bordered by housing to south and part of east and west. M6 borders north. Limited openness.		1578.5	75.8%	
51	53.8	4374.1	Yes	No	Well contained with strong boundaries on 3 sides.		Wide gap		Less than 10% inappropriate development but more than 2/3 enclosed.		3675	84.0%	
52	7.7	1534.5	No	No	Not adjacent to any settlements and well contained on all sides, TPO woodland to south.		No to Q1 and Q2		Moderate openness to west although Lodge Lane is an A road, therefore strong boundaries with lot of traffic.		1480	96.4%	
53	71.0	4409.8	Yes	No	Well contained on three sides (>80%) - open to west.		Strategic gap between Haydock and Newton-Le-Willows		Over 2/3 enclosed. Housing to south. Housing and A580 to north. Woodland and A road to east. Moderate openness to west.		3579.8	81.2%	
54	2.1	586.0	No	No	Not adjacent any settlement.		No to Q1 and Q2		> 30% built form		186	31.7%	
55	112.8	4926.9	Yes	No	Partially contained. Bounded to north south by Haydock and Newton-Le-Willows. Weak boundaries to east and west.		Strategic gap between Haydock and Newton-Le-Willows		Enclosed to north and south by housing. Heart of parcel contains a mineral extraction operation. High level of openness to west. Moderate openness to east.		3326.9	67.5%	

Green Belt Parcel			Purpose 1				Purpose 2		Purpose 3		Boundaries		Overall
			Criteria 1.1		Criteria 1.2	Purpose 1 score	Criteria 2.2	Purpose 2 score	Criteria 3.1	Purpose 3 score	Perimeter of parcel that is enclosed by strong boundary	% of parcel enclosed by strong boundary	
Parcel ref	Area (ha)	Perimeter	Q1: Adjacent to large built up area?*	Q2: Adjacent to any other settlements?	Q3: How well contained is parcel?	If Q1=No, limited impact	Assess gap in which parcel is located (parcels not screened out by Q1 & Q2)		Characteristic of countryside and contribute to the openness of it?				
56	5.5	968.7	Yes	No	Well contained, including TPO woodland belt along south.		Strategic gap between Haydock and Newton-Le-Willows can be retained even with loss of this parcel		Farmland. Framed by housing to west, woodland to south and east with cleared land to north. More than 2/3 enclosed.		689	71.1%	
57	89.7	4354.8	Yes	No	Partially contained - west bounded by woodland and east bounded by Earlstown. Boundaries to north and south weak and open in parts.		Strategic gap between Haydock and Newton-Le-Willows		Farms populate the site with <10% inappropriate development. Open views, particularly to south and north east.		2119	48.7%	
58	11.1	1475.0	Yes	No	Well contained - strong boundary to north and east due to housing. Largely open boundaries to west. There are some protected woodland to south boundary.		Strategic gap between Haydock and Newton-Le-Willows can be retained even with loss of this parcel		Between 10 and 30% development due to presence of Grange Valley school, housing and care home. Rest of parcel made up of trees and green space. > 70% enclosed.		1065	72.2%	
59	93.9	4061.1	Yes	No	Housing to north and some protected woodland to west, otherwise site not contained by strong boundaries < 1/3.		Partial - strategic gap between Haydock and St.Helens		Farmland, fields and water courses with open views across. Very limited presence of roads. Strong countryside character.		1140	28.1%	
60	24.3	2580.5	Yes	No	Well enclosed - more than 2/3. Housing on all sides and east lincs to north.		Wide Gap		site completely enclosed and adjacent to busy main arterial route, other than agricultural use very few characteristics of open countryside.		2580.5	100.0%	
61	1.9	738.7	Yes	No	Well enclosed - more than 2/3. 2 A roads to south and west and housing to east.		Wide Gap		>30% built form. Fully enclosed by busy roads and housing.		738.7	100.0%	
62	83.0	4559.6	Yes	No	Well enclosed - more than 2/3. Housing to south, east and west, and east lincs to north.		Partially strategic gap between settlements although they have already nearly merged along the south		Sankey Valley Park. Views across parcel restricted by presence of thick woodland. <10% of inappropriate development. However, parcel is more than 2/3 enclosed.		4559.6	100.0%	
63	20.0	2424.2	Yes	No	Partially contained - Strong boundaries to north and and part of south (water treatment works), other weaker physical boundries to remainder of parcel.		Partial-strategic gap between Haydock and St.Helens		Farmland with adjacent green space. Enclosed to north and views to south limited by water treatment works and line of trees. Greater openness to east.		1474	60.8%	

Green Belt Parcel			Purpose 1				Purpose 2		Purpose 3		Boundaries		Overall
			Criteria 1.1		Criteria 1.2	Purpose 1 score	Criteria 2.2	Purpose 2 score	Criteria 3.1	Purpose 3 score	Perimeter of parcel that is enclosed by strong boundary	% of parcel enclosed by strong boundary	
Parcel ref	Area (ha)	Perimeter	Q1: Adjacent to large built up area?*	Q2: Adjacent to any other settlements?	Q3: How well contained is parcel?	If Q1=No, limited impact	Assess gap in which parcel is located (parcels not screened out by Q1 & Q2)		Characteristic of countryside and contribute to the openness of it?				
64	11.3	1577.8	Yes	No	Well contained.		Partial-strategic gap between Haydock and St.Helens		> 30% built form including school and recreation club. Rest of parcel made up of school playing fields. Mostly enclosed.		1318	83.5%	
65	9.0	1343.7	Yes	No	Partially contained. Bounded to north south by Haydock and Newton-Le-Willows. Weak boundaries to east and west.		Partial-strategic gap between Haydock and St.Helens		Water treatment works dominate parcel and therefore strongly limit the characteristics of the countryside.		544.8	40.5%	
66	51.4	4889.6	Yes	No	Well contained by settlement to south, whilst Sankey Brook provides a reasonable physical barrier to the north.		Partial-strategic gap between Haydock and St.Helens		Enclosed to south and west by development. Open views to east and north.		3009	61.5%	
67	17.4	2046.1	Yes	No	Partly contained - housing to the entire west and south west but weak boundaries to east. A572 to the north.		Wide gap		Parcel contains farm, agricultural fields and woodland. Very open to north and east.		1366	66.8%	
68	5.1	951.6	Yes	No	Partially contained - lack strong boundary to south and east, woodland not protected.		Wide gap		Playing field with green space attached. Well enclosed by housing to north and west, woodland to south.		501	52.6%	
69	64.6	4433.1	Yes	No	Partially contained - Housing to most of north and part of west. Strong southern boundary due to railway.		Partial-strategic gap between Sutton and Ashtons Green, though settlements have already merged to the west		Parcel made up of woodland and water spaces Well enclosed.		2851.1	64.3%	
70	7.5	1360.5	Yes	No	Partially contained - lack strong boundary to east, woodland not protected.		Loss of parcel would not result in settlements merging		Scrap yard at centre of site and industrial use to south of site. Between 10-30% inappropriate use. Rest of parcel is characterised by thick woodland. Well enclosed.		800	58.8%	



Green Belt Parcel			Purpose 1				Purpose 2		Purpose 3		Boundaries		Overall
			Criteria 1.1		Criteria 1.2	Purpose 1 score	Criteria 2.2	Purpose 2 score	Criteria 3.1	Purpose 3 score	Perimeter of parcel that is enclosed by strong boundary	% of parcel enclosed by strong boundary	
Parcel ref	Area (ha)	Perimeter	Q1: Adjacent to large built up area?*	Q2: Adjacent to any other settlements?	Q3: How well contained is parcel?	If Q1=No, limited impact	Assess gap in which parcel is located (parcels not screened out by Q1 & Q2)		Characteristic of countryside and contribute to the openness of it?				
71	98.4	7716.1	Yes	Yes	Mostly uncontained - strong boundaries to north and west though open to south and east.		Strategic gap between sutton and burtonwood		Farmland, fields and lake make up the majority of the parcel and are highly characteristic of the countryside. Moderate level of openness. Bounded to north by railway. Open to south and east.		2620	34.0%	
72	10.4	1412.0	Yes	No	Sprawl has already occurred, site fully developed for industry, redevelopment may reduce impact.		Would have been partially-strategic as part of gap to Burtonwood but site developed		>30 % of inappropriate use due to existence of industrial businesses.		0	0.0%	
73	11.1	1450.4	Yes	Yes	Well contained - housing to north and south and industrial plant to east offer barrier though boundary line to west is weaker. > 2/3 enclosed.		Wide gap		Degree of openness to west, but otherwise contained. 10-30% inappropriate development and adjacent industry - lack countryside character.		994.0	68.5%	
74	154.3	6106.5	Yes	No	Partly contained - man made boundaries strong to north and west though open to south and most of east.		Wide gap		Farm and attached farmland. Degree of openness to south, though limited by line of trees along Gorsey Road.		4626.5	75.8%	
75	330.8	9420.7	Yes	Yes	Partly enclosed - strong enclosure in south due to M62 but largely open in north and east.		Strategic gap between Sutton and Burtonwood		Country park and farmland form majority of parcel. Some examples of inappropriate use (land for employment use including haulage company) but below 10%. Degree of openness to north, though limited by line of trees along Gorsey Road. Good degree of openness to east.		4960.7	52.7%	
76	570.1	11788.7	Yes	No	Well contained - more than 2/3.		Partially-strategic		A569 intersects parcel. Parcel is more than 2/3 enclosed by main arterial roads.		11468.7	97.3%	

Green Belt Parcel			Purpose 1				Purpose 2		Purpose 3		Boundaries		Overall
			Criteria 1.1		Criteria 1.2	Purpose 1 score	Criteria 2.2	Purpose 2 score	Criteria 3.1	Purpose 3 score	Perimeter of parcel that is enclosed by strong boundary	% of parcel enclosed by strong boundary	
Parcel ref	Area (ha)	Perimeter	Q1: Adjacent to large built up area?*	Q2: Adjacent to any other settlements?	Q3: How well contained is parcel?	If Q1=No, limited impact	Assess gap in which parcel is located (parcels not screened out by Q1 & Q2)		Characteristic of countryside and contribute to the openness of it?				
77	272.9	7288.6	Yes	No	Partly contained. Strong enclosure to north and south but weak immediately to east and west.		Strategic gap between Warrington and Widnes		Mineral processing business at heart of parcel. Some housing development to north of Mill Lane. Mostly contained but retains moderate openness. A57 to north/A5080 and railway to south enclose site with A557 limiting views to west of parcel.		4428.6	60.8%	
78	15.9	1995.4	Yes	Yes	Well contained - more than 2/3.		Wide gap		Mix of agricultural land and green space. Limited openness. More than 2/3 enclosed.		1345.0	67.4%	
79	64.7	3481.0	Yes	No	Partially contained - less than 2/3. Open to north and some of west.		Settlements already merged to a degree along north but parcel provides partial separation		Sutton Manor Woodland. Limited openness to north but largely enclosed to west by housing and to east by mix of housing and railway. Southern boundary is formed by the busy and noisy M62.		1401.0	40.2%	
80	22.3	2432.6	Yes	No	Well contained. Housing to north and east and woodland in country park to south.		Settlements already merged along north of parcel		Almost fully enclosed with urban features to three directions, low characteristics of the open countryside.		1482.0	60.9%	
81	71.8	4840.6	Yes	No	Well contained. >2/3 strong boundaries.		Partially-strategic between Rainhall and Clock Face		Hedgerow field boundaries in tact to the north of the site. <10% inappropriate development, no roads within parcel, however industrial site borders the parcel to north west, busy St.Helens linkway to west and M62 to south.		3300.0	68.2%	
82	25.1	2322.4	Yes	No	Partially contained - above 50% containment by strong boundaries, including protected woodland to west.		Partially-strategic between Rainhall and Clock Face		Bounded to north by Sutton Manor. Moderate openness to south and east with wider views restricted by M62 and St.Helens linkway.		1242.0	53.5%	
83	99.6	5595.0	Yes	Yes	Well contained.		Strategic gap between Rainhill and St.Helens		Housing to west, railway to north, linkway to east and motorway junction to south enclose site and limit views. <10% inappropriate development.		5142.0	91.9%	

Green Belt Parcel			Purpose 1				Purpose 2		Purpose 3		Boundaries		Overall
			Criteria 1.1		Criteria 1.2	Purpose 1 score	Criteria 2.2	Purpose 2 score	Criteria 3.1	Purpose 3 score	Perimeter of parcel that is enclosed by strong boundary	% of parcel enclosed by strong boundary	
Parcel ref	Area (ha)	Perimeter	Q1: Adjacent to large built up area?*	Q2: Adjacent to any other settlements?	Q3: How well contained is parcel?	If Q1=No, limited impact	Assess gap in which parcel is located (parcels not screened out by Q1 & Q2)		Characteristic of countryside and contribute to the openness of it?				
84	10.7	1320.0	No	Yes	Well contained to 3 sides.		Less strategic gap. Two larger GB parcels to east retains the gap		Grade 2 listed Loyola Hall with woodland around as well as school building to north. Largely enclosed on 3 sides, limited openness, >30% PDL		867.0	65.7%	
85	74.4	3988.9	Yes	Yes	Well contained - Railway to south, housing to west, woodland/water space and housing to east, housing to north.		Strategic gap between Rainhill and St.Helens		Agricultural land. Limited openness. Railway to south and housing to north and west. Some roads other than country lanes and tracks bound site.		3679.0	92.2%	
86	17.0	2241.6	Yes	Yes	Partially contained - open to west and south east.		Strategic gap between Rainhill and St.Helens		Parcel intersected by and is bordered by B roads. Contains NHS building and some woodland. Moderate openness to west and to south. Enclosed by housing to north east and south west.		1251.6	55.8%	
87	49.4	3304.8	Yes	Yes	Well contained - more than 2/3. Housing on north, east and south sides with railway to north.		Provides gap between Rainhill and St.Helens but settlements already merged along the north of the partial, therefore only partially strategic gap		Eccleston Park Gold Club. Enclosed to south and west by housing and railway to north. Very limited openness beyond parcel.		2424.0	73.3%	
88	16.0	2130.1	Yes	Yes	Well contained - more than 2/3. Housing on northeast and south sides with railway to north.		Provides gap between Rainhill and St.Helens but settlements already merged along the north of the partial, therefore only partially strategic gap		>30% development. Lack countryside character. Enclosed to north by railway and east by housing. Housing development falls within south of parcel.		1620.0	76.1%	
89	51.4	3249.0	Yes	No	Rainhill forms part of large built up area connected to Whiston and Prescot (in Knowsley). Site is well contained by housing to north and M62 to south. >70%		Partial strategic gap between Rainhill and Town End (Halton Borough).		<10% inappropriate development. Mostly agricultural land. Some open views to west. Enclosed to north, south and east. Presence of busy M62 to south.		2378.8	73.2%	
90	192.5	5932.5	Yes	Yes	Well enclosed - more than 2/3. Housing on east and west. M62 to south and railway to north.		Strategic gap between Rainhill and Whiston. This parcel is of even greater significance since Knowsley's allocation of its Green Belt for an Urban Extension to the south of Whiston		Mix of agricultural land, woodland, golf course, country lane and minimal inappropriate development. View across parcel extensive. However, parcel does have urban features to majority of boundaries.		4202.5	70.8%	

Green Belt Parcel			Purpose 1				Purpose 2		Purpose 3		Boundaries		Overall
			Criteria 1.1		Criteria 1.2	Purpose 1 score	Criteria 2.2	Purpose 2 score	Criteria 3.1	Purpose 3 score	Perimeter of parcel that is enclosed by strong boundary	% of parcel enclosed by strong boundary	
Parcel ref	Area (ha)	Perimeter	Q1: Adjacent to large built up area?*	Q2: Adjacent to any other settlements?	Q3: How well contained is parcel?	If Q1=No, limited impact	Assess gap in which parcel is located (parcels not screened out by Q1 & Q2)		Characteristic of countryside and contribute to the openness of it?				
91	5.3	923.9	Yes	No	Site partially contained by strong boundaries, together with substantial unprotected woodland to west and north. A58 to south and housing settlement to east.		Wide gap		Country tracks, woodland and United Utilities development. Site well contained and border by busy A58.		464.0	50.2%	
92	48.3	3156.2	No	No	Does not adjoin any settlement outside of Green Belt.		Wide gap		Reservoir occupies over 2/3 of site. Wide open views to north, west and east from embankment. No arterial roads. <10% of inappropriate development.		686.2	21.7%	
93	128.6	6084.6	Yes	No	Partially contained - largely open to west, north and northeast, lack of strong boundaries to majority of the parcel.		Strategic gap between Eccleston and Eccleston Park		Parcel contains farmland, woodland and some playing pitches. Open views across the parcel and retains strong countryside character.		3294.6	54.1%	
94	64.7	3975.3	Yes	No	Well contained by mix of housing and woodland. >70%		Strategic gap between Thatto Heath and Eccleston Park		Grange Park Golf Club. Enclosed by housing on 3 sides. Open to west.		2795.0	70.3%	
95	14.1	1975.1	Yes	No	Strong boundaries limited to north and northeast, poorly contained to south and west.		Wide gap		Eccleston Mere with open views across. High level of openness to south and west.		830.0	42.0%	
96	149.0	6656.4	No	No	Not adjacent any settlements which is not in the Green Belt. A580 along north, otherwise uncontained by strong boundaries.		Wide gap		Wide open views across the site. Strong countryside character with agricultural fields and country lanes.		560.0	8.4%	
97	134.4	5744.3	Yes	No	Uncontained - approximately 1/3 enclosed by strong boundaries, A580 to north and housing to southeast.		Wide Gap		Open to south and west. Less than 10% inappropriate development. Bounded to north by busy A580 and to some of east by Eccleston.		2030.0	35.3%	
98	59.8	3690.6	Yes	No	Well contained - more than than 2/3 with A580 and built area to two sides.		Wide Gap		open views to north and west, but busy A580 and urban area to south means site lacks countryside character. Site is also well contained by strong boundaries > 2/3 of the site perimeter.		2540.6	68.8%	

Green Belt Parcel			Purpose 1				Purpose 2		Purpose 3		Boundaries		Overall
			Criteria 1.1		Criteria 1.2	Purpose 1 score	Criteria 2.2	Purpose 2 score	Criteria 3.1	Purpose 3 score	Perimeter of parcel that is enclosed by strong boundary	% of parcel enclosed by strong boundary	
Parcel ref	Area (ha)	Perimeter	Q1: Adjacent to large built up area?*	Q2: Adjacent to any other settlements?	Q3: How well contained is parcel?	If Q1=No, limited impact	Assess gap in which parcel is located (parcels not screened out by Q1 & Q2)		Characteristic of countryside and contribute to the openness of it?				
99	512.2	8936.0	Yes	No	Large parcel with strong boundaries to east and south. Lack of strong boundaries within the parcel which raises the risk of substantial urban sprawl.		Partially strategic gap between Windle and Rainford		Less than 10% inappropriate development. Presence of tracks and country lanes. Wide openness across parcel and to west. A570 to east and A580 to south has some impact on the characteristics of countryside in relation to this parcel, but vast majority of this large parcel retains a strong countryside character as you move away from these roads.		5680.0	63.6%	